


A WEEKLY COMMENTARY



ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Vol. 61 No. 22

06th June 2025

IN THIS ISSUE

Et tu Brute? By Arnis Luks	01
The Ideal -- and The Real by Betty Luks	05
Christian Nationalism by Anthony Cooney	07
The Devils Two Step By Neville Archibald	10
Doing Good To Us? Rubbish! By Neville Archibald	12

Et tu Brute? By Arnis Luks

My reading list this past week came from an array of researchers, all uncovering a little more of the 'core of the illuminati-conspiracy to obtain world-government'. I noted some of the standout names listed for the King's Birthday to acknowledging their contribution to this cause. Perhaps they will receive their just rewards in the next life.

William Shakespeare's play '*Julius Caesar*' records an intrigue for power. Enmity and jealousy (that brought about conspiracy) have been ever present and recorded in even earlier writings with the tale of Cain killing Abel. It occurs, whether acknowledged or not.

https://ia902209.us.archive.org/14/items/and_not_a_shot_is_fired/and_not_a_shot_is_fired_revb.pdf

'*And Not a shot is Fired*' by Jan Kozak identifies the Communist intrigue of subverting a representative government. To suggest that communism is not pursuing its clearly-promulgated goals using clandestine methods (conspiracy) is naive at best, or foolishness at worst. All their publications reinforce-to-demonstrate that they are at war with this civilisation to destroy it.

After a short introductory conversation at a marketplace, a young woman quickly labelled me as a right-wing conspiracy-theorist and dismissed any further conversation. She easily fell within the same descriptor: of 'conspiring to achieve an objective' by selling the newspaper - which by that time had metamorphosed several aberrations into the 'Green Left Weekly'. Conspiracy is not a theory. It is a fact of life.

Ivy League campuses and MSM are battlegrounds for influence

<https://www.naturalnews.com/2025-06-03-elite-western-universities-form-a-corrupt-and-parasitic-empire.html>

Universities and monopolised-media are both dominant entities that conspire to pursue policy. Universities have historically supported revolution from which most of the publications listed below come from:

https://www.reasoninrevolt.net.au/bib/browse_a_bib.htm

That they, the conspirators are never squeezed for funds, nor urged to alter their policy, again shows the hand of complicity. The sustained ABC's political orientation, monopolised media ownership, and Covid – to name some examples.

'Only the fool says there is no conspiracy'.

Further reading:

Secret Societies And Subversive Movements By Nesta H. Webster

https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Webster_NH_Secret_Societies.pdf

The political, religious, and academic classes are riddled with membership of some more prominent secret-societies, the Freemasons and the Fabians for example. Identifying membership from within these Ivy League classes-of-society had become a regular pastime of mine. I also noted membership from within industry – from both sides of the supposed demarcation line - union and management. Discussions of this nature occurred regularly between a shop steward and myself, they being more aware than even I.

ALOR' Libraries and Archives Are A Bastian –

A Reliable Source of Intelligence-Assessment From The Past

When I travel to speak, I prepare my material based on current events that may provide an example for learning from a civics' perspective. This pre-thought may be considered as conspiracy. Historically the subject of civics was integral to a sound education – not anymore.

ALOR provides source material and offers our extensive archives and libraries (being openly available), giving any researcher the opportunity to examine our record of assessment of past political events for themselves. Past writers have followed this path of 'exposure' to answer all critiques. The ALOR record of accurately assessing and, in confidence, predicting the political outcomes (if policy is pursued) speaks for itself.

The objective of providing source material and teaching from within the contents of our journals, is to 'inform that you may learn' what is bringing about revolutionary change. ALOR also identifies the necessary rules-of-association, civics, constitutionalism, and the history of centralised-finance as the dominant-guiding-power behind this world-wide conspiracy. Their 'strategy and tactics' are being used against our society, predominantly exercised from within the revolutionary class from our many hallways of influence, who have acted against the best interest of our nation.

Contradictory-policy emanating from those holding positions of high public office can reveal the calibre of ideas. Neville's article about burning rubbish offers an example of an inconsistent environmental policy coming from a socialist government that moves to benefit big industry. Compare this with 'gas exploitation being readily

hampered' from within our own borders that will not be allowed to benefit our own industry, nor our national interest such as security of power and defence. The environmental 'policy' in action shows internationalism as their objective, benefiting transnational corporations and central banking entities foremost – above their own stated ideals.

'By their fruits you shall know them' - is a reliable key to unlock the riddle.

Why would they (-&%\$*#@-) do that, professing their beliefs, but pursuing policy entirely contrary to their advocacy? Why would socialists and liberals alike pursue policy that exclusively benefits monopolists?

They conspire 'together' that they may rule over the world – you will own nothing...

'A Programme For Halting The Financing Of Economic Blood Transfusions to the Communist' was a report tabled by the Crown Commonwealth League of Rights' President, Mr ED Butler, to the ninth World Anti-Communist League Conference in Seoul, South Korea 1976. A recommendation coming from this report was that 'the financing of economic blood transfusions to Communist nations be labelled as treasonable and opposed in every way' – and, that those who oppose and resist this 'labelling' be publicly designated as subversive. In short, that any economic and financial support directed towards Communist nations must be done so only in exchange for releasing those nations from totalitarian bondage - free and open elections et cetera..., (in today's circumstances - the disassembly of the surveillance state may be a good start, but this also applies to our own nation).

https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Butler_ED-Program_For_Halting.pdf

Today, trade across all national boundaries is almost unfettered, unless there is some form of sanction due to minor political differences. The other week I wrote about the debt incurred by our own Pacific Island nations to the north, that have placed them into financial bondage to China. Accurately identifying both Communist and International-financiers' policy being to further the world-wide revolutionary movement towards world-government becomes obvious. They both pursue the same end.

Technological transfer is accomplished through our open research Universities, space programs, collaborative projects – SMR small modular (nuclear) reactors, swamping-immigration-policy, and industry employment policies. The national interest – the security and well-being of the host nation - does not rate a consideration. In fact, the national interest could invoke the nation being brought before the World Bank court for interfering with potential profits of a transnational corporation. Antony Sutton's record of research of this subject stands un-rebutted.

Revolution cannot ever occur without adequate finance - Central banks being integral to the policy. The only variance is as to which nation will provide the necessary military support and political handlers.

I noted that Wagner – the private military contractor whose headquarters are located in Russia – is/has pulled out of Mali, a west African entity, after having secured the makeshift-puppet-government into political power.

<https://www.rt.com/africa/618750-wagner-withdraws-from-mali/>

Mali's economy centres on agriculture and mining, with its most prominent natural resource being gold of which it is the third largest producer in Africa...

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mali>

Is it a stretch too far of the imagination to highlight that: Wagner in June 2023 withdrew from the Russian front lines in Ukraine to be deployed in Africa to secure the exploitation of this 3rd largest gold-mine. Wagner would not have been there without the mercenaries protecting financial interests. The Ukraine war continued without a hiccup, North Korea (read China) providing the necessary troupes to cover any shortfall. The more recent advances of Russian front-lines into Ukraine territory is probably attributable to their (Wagner's) re-newed presence.

Another ready example of the collusion of central-bank's very close association with communism is the Nuclear Monopoly. The liberals were fully behind this policy even though we have oceans of available coal and gas -energy to suit our own purposes.

Only SMR based on Licensed, Operating & Advanced Reactor Technology

<https://westinghousenuclear.com/energy-systems/ap300-smr>

The Westinghouse AP300™ Small Modular Reactor is the most advanced, proven and readily deployable SMR solution. Westinghouse proudly brings 70+ years of experience developing and implementing new nuclear technologies that enable reliable, clean, safe and economical sources of energy for generations to come.

Our AP1000® reactor is already proving itself every day around the globe.

Currently, four units utilizing AP1000 technology are operating in China, setting performance records. Six more are under construction in China, and two AP1000 units are operating at Plant Vogtle in Georgia.

Recommended Reading:

The Balfour Declaration – Warrant For Genocide

The Red Thread – Andrei Krylienko

Our PDF Library contains Antony Suttons works - also readily available across the internet : <https://alor.org/Storage/navigation/Library6.htm>

ALOR's recording of these occurrences, of conspiring in the pursuit of common policy by both Communists and Central-Banks alike, also goes on...un-rebutted.

God is a trinity, a relational structure. Every work of the devil is to divide us into warring camps - Trump vs Harris, Ukraine vs Russia, Israel vs Palestine, Sport team A vs Sport team B, Liberal vs Labor, Democrat vs Republican, Husband vs Wife, Young vs Old. Resolution is to be found in upholding this relational structure, of community, of discussion, love if you like.

The Ideal -- and The Real by Betty Luks

In his weekly newsletter Senator Cori Bernardi expressed his concern for the 'state of the world' when he wrote:

"I don't know how many times I have written the following statement in recent years but it is succinct, accurate and more relevant than ever. "The world has gone mad."

Wherever you look, the signs of societal decline are evident. Acts of Islamic terror are now a seemingly everyday event. Mental health issues are increasingly prevalent. Substance abuse is growing. Respect for the rule of law and those that enforce it seem lower than ever. The children of dysfunctional families are incarcerated with little hope of a positive future...and I could go on.

These are the results of a sickness that has captured society; a culture where personal responsibility has all but disappeared, personal failings are excused by the politically correct and dangerous ideologies are dismissed with accusations of racism. The issues we face are a direct product of the failed 'progressive experiment' that has deliberately sought to undermine the family, our societal structures, our education system and social mores..."

Senator Bernardi has reminded me of Sir Arthur Bryant's words from *"The Lion and the Unicorn"* (1969):

"We are face to face with a fundamental rule of existence: one which the ancients recognised even though they could not comprehend and apply it, and which our nineteenth century men of science forgot or ignored in their painstaking study of natural phenomena. That everything in the Universe is in some way connected with everything else: that nothing in God's creation can stir without everything else, vast or minute, feeling to a greater or lesser degree its effect.

That in such movement there is almost infinite elasticity and room for recompense and adjustment is clear: what we have got to recognise is the fact of movement. It is something which scientists, after a century of denial, are beginning to be aware: that there is interlinked purpose and order in the universe, as in the human body and as in every machine -- man's clumsy imitation of God's larger creation -- that works.

Life is a pattern, moving in an ordained rhythm: the stars in their courses and the tides of the sea and those subtler tides in the souls and bodies of men and women, beasts and birds all form part of the pattern. Mar it at any point and you mar it at some other...

This is the secret which those who regulate society and the body politic have to master as scientists: there will be no peace in Israel until they do... So between ugly and unrhythmical surroundings and sour and acrid tempers there may well be a vital connection of which we have still to find the secret. The great poets and artists -- men gifted by God with instinctive apprehension of His universe -- have always felt there is."

The Ideal and the Real:

“Why is it we have continually been fed the idea that 'multiculturalism' and/or 'multiracialism', will prove an outstanding success in the western nations? The League of Rights has been smeared and demonised for all these years because it publicly criticised such ideas, and warned that by bringing in large numbers of peoples who will not, or cannot assimilate, the main political parties have sown seeds of future conflict for us all. Europe is now a good example of this folly...”

Read further <http://alor.org/Volume40/Vol40No22.htm> - 11th June 2004

MORE EXAMPLES OF THIS FOLLY ?

Source: <https://plus.google.com/110856017971235962847>

Rick Morton of Melbourne writes:

“African youths causing a crime wave in Victoria are the product of eroded family units, trauma and an Australian society which does not sanction corporal punishment as a means of keeping them in line, claims a community leader.

Gangs of teenagers and young adults have been contributing to a surge in crimes, particularly in Melbourne’s east where carjackings, burglaries and theft are on the rise. But one of the defining characteristics of the young offenders is boldness and apparent indifferent to consequences.

Africa Media Australia chief executive Clyde Salumu Sharady told *The Australian* there is an increase in crime among young people broadly but particularly African youths, especially South Sudanese teenagers.

Mr Sharady said a medley of factors explained the phenomenon of rising criminality including unemployment rates, family trauma, language barriers and the demotion of men from traditional positions of influence in the family structure.

“Kids are growing with very little structure within families, many of them don’t have male figures in their families,” he said. “Child protection laws are actually fuelling this crisis. There has got to be some understanding of the cultural background of people. In most African families, the rearing of the children is a little on the tough side rather than being very permissive.

“When that happens it is easy for child protection services to go in and qualify that as abuse and remove children from parents and that actually makes things worse. The children know they have a bit more power and a bit more rights and play with that.”

Mr Sharady is critical of attempts to dramatise the prevalence of the “Apex gang” which rose to notoriety after the Moomba riots in March but he conceded it is prevalent in Melbourne that young men and women meet up to steal cars and rob people. ...”

Read further here... <https://plus.google.com/110856017971235962847>

Christian Nationalism by Anthony Cooney

The four 'marks' of the Nation are:

- A Common Homeland
- A Common Culture
- A Common Language
- A Common Economy

It will be noted that there is no mention of Race. This is not because the concept of race is irrelevant to the concept of Nation but because it has been subject to two, opposed, notions. From the mid-19th Century, German, American and English scientism interpreted race in strictly materialist terms: as a vertical division of Mankind into varietal-specific entities.

The notion had its origins almost entirely in Darwinism and reached its logical conclusion in National Socialism, so-called. Since 1945, an opposite notion has been popularised: namely that there is no such thing as race, only individuals. It is odd that this notion is mandatory among those who denounced Margaret Thatcher's statement, "There is no such thing as a 'Society'". On the contrary, race is a reality, but it is not simply a matter of genetic code; it is a spiritual or (if you think Greek sounds more 'scientific') psychic phenomenon.

Race is a product of history, that is, of culture

It is nonsense to speak of a "Multi-cultural Society" -- a term which now appears to have replaced the equally nonsensical "Multi-racial Society". A nation may assimilate individuals of a different genetic pool, but the emphasis here is upon assimilate.

Multiculturalism will either divide the land or it will be held together by force. Where two cultural groups inhabit the same land, you do not have a "multi-cultural" society; you have two societies. If one is numerically insignificant the thing will hardly be noticed; where both are numerous then they will either divide the land or be held together by force.

This is not a matter of theory, but of fact

We need hardly cite the present inescapable fact of the former Yugoslavia. Serb and Croat share the same genetic pool; they are divided by language and culture. The experiment of "Yugoslavia" has served only to make matters worse, encouraging since 1919 a blurring of the borders between Croatia and Serbia by Serbian settlement in the former. In Bosnia where the patchwork of Serbian and Croatian areas would have been bad enough, it has been made greatly worse by the presence of a third society, that of the Mohammedans, geographically dispersed.

In Belgium we see two societies separated only by language which are hardly held together in a single state, and Ulster is torn apart by the existence of two societies where the cultural differences might be thought to be minimal.

Note: For a fuller examination of this matter the reader is referred to the section Belloc as Historian in Social Credit- Obelisks by Anthony Cooney - available from all League Book Services.

The Nation then is a reality

It may not be the greatest reality of existence but a reality it is, and must be accepted and treated as such. It is a reality the modern liberalist does not like, but to refuse to accept reality is to court disaster. To say that the Nation is a reality is not to claim that it is as old as Mankind. We know, so the eager liberalist has no need to produce his tomes, that men have lived as wandering pastoralists, bound together only by ties of close kinship. We know that the unit of Graeko-Roman civilization was the City; indeed that Rome was the triumph of the idea of the City. We also know that the unit of Western Civilisation is the Nation in the special sense here of a People continuing through time, bound together by Land, Culture, Language and Economy.

Compared with these spiritual re-ligaments the bond of blood is of negative importance. Whilst its integrity remains it is almost irrelevant; the Nation can absorb, bring into its historic life, other strains, without injury. Only with a large influx of aliens, insistent in maintaining their distinct culture and language, does the bond of blood become a positive factor. We may pause to wonder however, how it is that our eager liberalist, who is always an evolutionist, has not drawn an obvious conclusion from his Time-Chart of inevitable and ever upward evolution.

The 'NATION' is the creation of Christendom

If the Nation is not as old as Man, if it is not something decreed by a Law of Nature, how did it arise? The answer is that the Nation is the creation of Christendom; it was the Church which gave birth to the Nations. In the wrack and ruin of the Western Roman Empire, with central authority removed, and often beleaguered in Byzantium, administration fell into the hands of the commanders of Roman auxiliaries, but the only territorial structure was that of the Church.

There were of course many other influences, not least the natural frontiers of rivers and mountain ranges, but from this the idea of the Nation grew.

England the first Nation

England was perhaps the first (though some claim Wales) distinctive Nation. Others followed, and with Christianity the idea of the Nation was taken beyond the old Roman frontiers, to Ireland in the West, to Poland in the East. By the time of Otto, the Nation had become the unit of the new Civilisation the Church had nurtured from the old. It is true that the old Imperial unity has haunted Europe, almost as a myth, but always as a glamour, a Romance, and powerful nations have each in turn, but with disastrous results, tried to recreate it.

The Plantagenets, using England as a base, were the first to make the attempt, Henry II ruling an Empire from the Roman Wall to the Mediterranean. Spain followed, then France under Louis XIV, then France again, spurred on by an aberration of Nationalism under Napoleon, then Germany with a pagan Nationalism, and finally the anti-National and anti-Christendom Masons of the European Community.

All have failed, and will fail, against the solid fact that the unit of our civilisation is the Nation: hence we rejoice in the liberation and rebirth of our sister nations of Lithuania, Latvia, Estonia, Poland, Hungary, Slovenia, Croatia; yes, and of Serbia too, if it would but realise that it has been liberated from the Yugoslavia myth.

We rejoice especially because we know that their liberation and re-birth from the U.S.S.R. so-called, is the token of our ultimate liberation and re-birth from the threatened "United Socialist States of Europe".

Mention of "Pagan Nationalism" and the Republican aberration of Nationalism in France raises an important matter.

G.K. Chesterton likened Orthodoxy to a thrilling chariot dash down a narrow road. Constantly the chariot threatens to veer to the left or the right and end in the ditch; only courage, strength and skill can keep it on its straight and narrow course.

Nationalism, like all Christian ideas, has been subject to heresy. Now the error of heresy is not that the teaching is wrong, but that it is isolated. It is a doctrine separated from a whole context and balance of doctrine, in which balance alone it is reasonable...

What then is Christian nationalism?

Christian Nationalism is an acceptance of the facts, of the fact of the Nation as an historic thing, moulded by Christianity. For this reason the Christian Nationalist is in favour of Nations; not just his own nation, but all nations. He recognizes that the Nation-State is the smallest genuine political unit, and as such the safeguard of human freedom. For this reason he supports the aspirations of all authentic nations to statehood.

He notes that supra-national powers who have no concern for human freedom have marked the Nation down for destruction. He notes also that having realized that their assault upon the Nation from above and without is resisted and rebuffed, they have launched an assault from within by the promotion of a spurious political "Regionalism" designed to destroy the shield which stands between them and the individual's rights and liberties.

In loving his own nation he does not hate others

In desiring the liberty of his own nation he does not desire the subjugation of others. His concerns do not stop at his nation's borders, but he recognises that to claim to love all nations equally is, at best, to be lacking in a sense of proportion. He does not believe that the Nation is the only, or ultimate, reality -- an 'end in itself', the object of a religious cult to which morality and honour must be subordinated -- but he knows that nevertheless it is a high end, one superior to self-love and self-interest. The Welsh Nationalist, Saunders Lewis speaks for him when he writes *"Wales my country is a vineyard given into my care to pass on to my children and to my children's children as an eternal inheritance."*

McKey, in his *Life of the Scots Patriot, Fletcher of Saltoun*, had this to say of his hero: *"He would gladly have laid down his life for his country, but he was not willing to do a base thing to save it."* That is Christian Nationalism. *Heritage Journal* No. 68, June/August 1993.

The Devils Two Step By Neville Archibald

C.H.Douglas said, in ‘The Nature of Democracy’ that:

“What I found was that all over the world there is an organised campaign in progress to discredit democracy, and when I say “organised” it does not necessarily mean that it emanates from some particular source. The method used in this campaign is to point to the chaos which, as we know, is unquestionably due to finance, and to start by substituting for democracy a form of administration either under the name of Communism, Fascism, or a National Recovery Administration, or rationalisation and planned economy, all of which are fundamentally similar, in that they aim at thwarting the public will.

The form that any of these methods takes is the employment of a number of second-rate experts who proceed to tell a number of first-rate experts how to run their business, with the inevitable result that the second lot of experts eventually refuse to co-operate.

The allegation, then, is that democracy is ineffective and that the interference of governments in business is the cause of the present breakdown of business.

The remedy put forward at this point is a dictatorship.”

<https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Douglas%20CH%20-%20The%20Nature%20of%20Democracy.pdf>

How strange that Douglas should have seen this back in 1934! or is it?

On our “crossroads” talk last week, (<https://thecross-roads.org/home/tcr-2025/entry/311-tcr-2022-episode-49>) we mentioned societal stagnation and the ceding of sovereignty to a dictatorship by the WHO (World Health Organisation). Is this because democracy is not working? Can a Globally controlled effort, using one solution only, derive a better result than a bunch of individual nations putting their specialised attempts into practice?

The use of rigorous adherence to a single method of control, can never beat a many sided approach, where many different aspects are put forward in the fight to find a solution. When we are restricted in our efforts to solve a problem, we can never fully explore our options for best results. This applies in many fields of endeavour.

Douglas’ mentioning of second rate experts telling everyone what to do, is an almost perfect example of what we face today in nearly all western societies. Each business, each individual pursuit to be undertaken is so bogged down in the legalities of bureaucracy and the volumes of legislation telling us what we can and can’t do, that finding anything new or better is nigh on impossible. We are being, for want of a better example, locked into a zero growth life; a form of stasis.

We are witnessing in one Democracy, “The Donald” and his group of experts, endeavouring to do the “National Recovery Administration” that Douglas mentions.

His experts, when you look at them, are in the main, tied to the 'rule by money power' which has been the main generator of the very problems they face. All the manipulations in the world, trying to achieve a balance of money in and money out, can be made, and be made to look respectable, by targeting much of the bloated bureaucracy, but unless the fundamental flaws of the system are addressed, it will be nothing less than rearranging the deck chairs on the Titanic. The failed economics continues to sink us, as it has done through successive governments over successive years.

Other countries are doing similar dances, the dance that is the Devils two step: or as some before me have called it, the dialectical two step.

See Eric Butler's book, "Dialectics", (<https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Butler%20ED%20-%20Dialectics.pdf>)

In calling this dance, the MC (master of ceremonies) directs the formations of dancers around the hall in time with the music. They may step forward, sideways, spin or even go backward; but the direction always takes you around the hall, you always move around to go forward.

Likewise, our political parties: one spends too much or tramples our rights, then the other takes a turn and tightens our belts or repeals, partly, the restrictions. But always the direction is to place us under further control, to send us closer to ultimate dictatorship, never, of course calling it as such.

The causing of chaos worldwide, by war, famine, disease or other, is another reliable con, another form of two step. Our recent "pandemic" was the impetus for a push to further implement 'global control' of health, with the pandemic treaty, under the auspices of the WHO (World Health Organisation). This takes the form of agreeing to abide by restrictions, enforceable by foreign troops on our soil, if it comes to it. Not something that any sane Nation would readily agree to in peacetime or anytime; but, sadly seems to be welcomed by the current crop of Nation leaders - worldwide. We fought two world wars, and many lesser wars to keep our sovereignty intact, to keep us free from dictatorship. We demonise many dictatorial regimes around the world, yet cannot see the reality of the dictatorial control espoused by the very treaty we have just signed on to. (Or maybe our leaders can and are happy with it - what does that say to you?)

Lock-downs, mandates, travel restrictions and masking have just been the tunes we've danced to, will the next two step be a milder version? Possibly, but it is still looking a lot like only one step back if it happens that way; for the failed and dangerous mRNA platform is still being built on, despite its safety concerns. The most recent iteration of "mRNA vaccine" is being safety tested and compared against the previous one, and with the previous as the benchmark, what the hell can we expect? More than two steps forward towards loss of control over our own health outcomes, that's for sure.

See: Dr John Campbell, (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BgWun8AkUWs>)

One of the other outcomes from the “pandemic” that has been the less focused on recently is misinformation and the control of public speech. Active “fact checking” and even the use of AI (Artificial Intelligence) to remove or hide articles that go against the programmed narrative is still working insidiously behind the scenes. When true debate is stifled and replaced with one side of the story only, we have the perfect recipe for propaganda. The 21st century equivalent of book burning!

Science by consensus, where the differing opinion is ignored, is not even remotely scientific! Science is the postulation of a theory and then the testing of that theory in many ways to see if you always get the same result. If this does not occur, you have not discovered a truth, you need to keep looking. Many of the so-called truths of today, fail to meet that test, but succeed in advancing the level of control we are subject to. In this way, does the Devils Two Step have us dancing. We need to be our own MCs, and call for a different tune here.

The labels placed upon our problems; nearly always get in the way of the reality they actually are. If we continually fall for the pretense of democracy, where we democratically choose between devil A or devil B, and believe we are exercising our freedom of direction, then we are lost. We need to be very careful we are not falling for a solution that ultimately continues directing us down the path to complete subjection to a higher Authority, especially when that higher authority is a Godless one!

Doing Good To Us? Rubbish! By Neville Archibald

Within minutes of turning on the TV tonight I was bombarded by news items of our governments doing good to us. In this case it was the NSW government, planning to implement a power generation plant that works by burning rubbish. Located in Parkes, it will burn 600,000 tonnes of waste a year (mostly Sydney's). Of course, it has locals up in arms with legitimate questions about pollution and impact on the local environment.

1.5 Billion dollars is to be spent using a dirty fuel source, much worse than coal or gas! Yes! That very same Labor party brand name shared by our federal Government that wants to ban “Nasty, Dirty coal; and nasty greenhouse producing gas. How does this proposal meet their ideology? I think I need a masters degree in politics to truly understand the spin on this one.

The details, as I said 600,000 tonnes of waste a year, 60 megawatts of electricity, and enough of it to power 80,000 homes. Of course, it must comply with stringent standards (as do coal and gas I might add). Located just outside rural Parkes and burning “burnable” rubbish.

I have seen the material that is allowed to make Industry a “Zero waste to landfill” participant, and it includes things I would not burn at home in a pink fit.

If we go to an article from January 14, 2025, by Edward Randviir of Manchester Metropolitan University:

<https://theconversation.com/burning-waste-is-a-dirty-way-to-generate-power-but-its-the-least-bad-alternative-until-we-fix-recycling-244874>)

“Burning waste to generate heat and electricity was deemed (as-ed) the UK’s “dirtiest form of power” in a BBC investigation in October 2024, ...”

“The energy from waste sector has more drawbacks than just its contribution to climate change. Its incinerators are often built in deprived areas, where they emit harmful pollution. There are also allegations of malpractice against particular plants.”

“But how much do landfills emit compared with incinerators? Comparisons are difficult as each incinerator and landfill is different. Assessments have to account for the emissions avoided by using one form of waste treatment over another. However, Fichtner Consulting Engineers estimated that burning general waste for electricity produces the equivalent of around 200 kg less CO₂ per tonne than letting it decompose in landfill.”

My comments on this would include, if burning it produces CO₂ (which it does) then it does so in one great burst, being released faster than it can be consumed by plants (if there are any in that vicinity). Looking contrarily at burying it and leaving it to decompose, the released CO₂ would leach slowly into the surrounds and be consumed at a far greater rate – or am I getting something wrong here?

Resultant pollution of heavy metals and other nasties (related to dyes, pigments, plastics, and all the other detritus that finds its way into our waste streams) would be partially burnt but with some still exuded with the smoke/exhaust. While some laws on scrubbing this exist, in reality (these-ed) cost saving measures and business practices often result in these becoming less efficient than they should be. This all goes up in the air, and as they say, what goes up must come down, it finds its way into our rainwater, our environs over a larger area than can be contained successfully.

In a buried situation, unless the lining leaks or it is on top of a porous groundwater source (which it shouldn’t be if done properly) the “nasties” mostly stay where they are. While it is not the best possible outcome (not making it in the first place would be better) it is far better than spreading it far and wide in rainfall to be ultimately consumed in the food production cycle.

To go back to the previously mentioned study of comparisons, many times these studies do not compare apples with apples! They look at existing operations which are run down or not up to current standards, and then use that metric to compare against a new installation, sometimes with projected values rather than actual. In the sphere of statistics, many can find what they want and express this favourably: the proof of course comes out when the pudding is baked! - and we have suffered!

Why is sensible so hard for some to see?

The other part of this is ‘whose money is to be used?’ And ‘will they be held responsible for any issues that arise from mismanagement?’ Something that is often part of the question these days is “cost saving measures” down the track do lead to “Less favourable outcomes”. (maybe I do have some political training in language).

If it is government (read public) money and something goes wrong, then government cops the blame, government can be held responsible at the ballot box. In this current climate of blame shifting, anything to deflect owning up to mistakes is used to indemnify those responsible. If you can't blame the opposition previously in power, at least you can deny being the investor or operator/developer. So who owns it?

From the Australian Government website: (<https://international.austrade.gov.au/en/news-and-analysis/success-stories/australian-middle-eastern-consortium-to-build-billion-dollar-waste-to-energy-facility>)

“An Australian-Middle Eastern consortium will develop a state-of-the-art facility to transform waste into energy at Parkes, New South Wales.”

“Parkes Energy Recovery is a consortium of companies led by Tribe Infrastructure Group (Tribe), an investment firm based in the United Arab Emirates. Along with Tribe, the group includes Tadweer Group, HiQ Group (HiQ) and Kanadevia Inova (KVI).”

We look at these names and find foreign investment abounds, great as far as our economists would see it; but, in reality it is even more money outgoing from this country. Often these schemes also attract subsidies from our Government – read taxpayers – and the benefits of investment becomes basically wages to operate it and sometimes parts manufacture: though in reality most parts will probably be imported also.

Government projects, like wind farms, solar plants and infrastructure, are increasingly distancing themselves from the Australian population, yet they are still impoverishing us at the same time. Responsible representation in these matters, it would appear, is not really on offer at this time!

Save The Date

Plans are afoot to hold the Australian League of Rights National Seminar in Western Australia around 11th - 12th October. Mark Your Calendar.

Subscriptions are Now Due - and - The Basic Fund

All subscriptions fall due for the last day in June. In paying your subscription, please consider making an additional amount towards the ALOR Basic Fund.

Annual Subscription to 'On Target' \$75.00 pa which includes an Insert, the On Target and the NewTimes Survey journals - printed and posted monthly.

Donations & Subscriptions can both be performed by

Direct Bank Transfer to:

A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)

BSB 105-044

A/c No. 188-040-840

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Telephone: 08 8322 8923 eMail: heritagebooks@alor.org

Online Bookstore : <https://veritasbooks.com.au/>

Our main website of the Douglas Social Credit and the

Freedom Movement "Archives" :: <https://alor.org/>

On Target is printed and authorised by Arnis J. Luks

13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.